



Express Mail No.: EV 053647304 US

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 09/972,030

Group Art Unit:

Filed:

October 5, 2001

Examiner:

For:

COMPUTER SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEGMENTATION OF A DIGITAL Attorney Docket No.:

739-X01-006

**IMAGE** 

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with the duty of disclosure provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.56, there is hereby provided certain information which the Examiner may consider material to the examination of the subject U.S. patent application. It is requested that the Examiner make this information of record if it is deemed material to the examination of the application.

1.	Encle	osures accompanying this Information Disclosure Statement are:
	la.	A list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office.
	1b.	A legible copy of:
		Each U.S. patent application publication and U.S. and foreign patent;
		Each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed on the PTO-1449;
		For each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including the claims, and any drawing of the application, or portion of the application which caused it to be listed on the PTO-1449 including any claims directed to that portion;
		all other information or portion which caused it to be listed on the PTO-1449.
	1c.	An English language copy of search report(s) from a counterpart foreign application or PCT International Search Report.
	1d.	Explanations of relevancy (ATTACHMENT 1(d), hereto) or English language

abstracts of the non-English language publications.

This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(b):

	$\cdot$
	Within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under §1.53(d);
	☐ Within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in §1.491 in an international application;
	Before the mailing of the first Office action on the merits;
	☐ Before the mailing of a first Office action after the filing of a request for continued examination under §1.114.
3.	This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c) after the period specified in 37 C.F.R §1.97(b), but before the mailing date of any of a final action under 37 C.F.R. §1.113, a notice of allowance under 37 C.F.R. §1.311 or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.
	(Check either Item 3a or 3b)
	3a.  The Certification Statement in Item 5 below is applicable. Accordingly, no fee is required.
	3b. The \$180.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c) is:    enclosed
	(Item 3b to be checked if any reference known for more than 3 months)
4.	☐ This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(d) after the period specified in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(c), but on or before the date of payment of the issue fee.
	The \$180.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) is:
	☐ enclosed. ☐ to be charged to Deposit Account No. 500601
	The Certification Statement in Item 5 below is applicable.
5.	☐ Certification Statement (applicable if Item 3a or Item 4 is checked)
	(Check either Item 5a or 5b)
	5a. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(1), it is certified that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.
	5b. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(2), it is certified that no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, was known by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.

ds ,

6.	☐ This application is a continuation application under 37 C.F.R. §1.60 or §1.53(b) or (d).					
			(Check appropriate Items 6a, 6b and/or 6c)			
	6a.		A Petition to Withdraw from issue under 37 C.F.R. §1.313(b)(5) is concurrently filed herewith.			
	6b.		Copies of publications listed on Form PTO-1449 from prior application Serial No, filed on, of which this application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §120, are not being submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d).			
	6c.		Copies of the publications listed on Form PTO-1449 were not previously cited in prior application Serial No., filed on, and are provided herewith.			
7.	This is a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement. (Check either Item 7a or 7b)					
	7a.		This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(f) supplements the Information Disclosure Statement filed on A bona fide attempt was made to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.98, but inadvertent omissions were made. These omissions have been corrected herein. Accordingly, additional time is requested so that this Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement can be considered as if properly filed on			
	7b.		This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is timely filed within one (1) month of a PTO Notice under 37 C.F.R. §1.97(i).			
8.			rdance with 37 C.F.R. §1.98, a concise explanation of what is presently understood to relevance of each non-English language publication is:			
	( Check Item 8a, 8b, or 8c)					
	8a.		satisfied because all non-English language publications were cited on the enclosed English language copy of the PCT International Search Report or the search report from a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office.			
	8b.		set forth in the application.			
	8c.		enclosed as an attachment hereto.			
9.	X	overpa	ommissioner is authorized to charge any additional fee required or credit any syment for this Information Disclosure Statement and/or Petition to t Account No. 500601			
10.	No admission is made that the information cited in this Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability nor a representation that a search has been made (other than a search report of a foreign counterpart application or PCT International Search Report if submitted herewith). 37 C.F.R. §§1.97(g) and (h).  Respectfully Submitted.  Martin Fleit Registration No. 16,900  Fleit, Kain, Gibbons, Gutman & Bongini, P.L. 520 Brickell Key Drive Suite A201  Miami, FL 33131  (305) 536-9020					

PTO/SB/08A (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

2 of Sheet

Complete if Known				
09/972,030				
October 5, 2001				
HAHN				
2819				
739-X01-006				

			U.S. PATI	ENT DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials		Document Number  Number - Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (if known	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	AA	us- 5,903,664	05-11-1999	Hartley et al.	
	AB	us- 5,463,698	10/31/1995	Meyer	
	AC		06-20-1995	Brandt	
	AD	us- 5,262,945	11-16-1993	DeCarli et al.	
		US-			
	ļ	US-			
		US-			

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document  Country Code 3 "Number 4" - Kind Code 5 (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T6		
	·····							

Examiner Date		 	
	Examiner	Date	
Signature Considered Considered	Signature	 Considered	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup> See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <u>www.uspto.gov</u> or MPEP 901.04. <sup>3</sup> Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup> For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup> Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. <sup>6</sup> Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

PTO/SB/08B (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE opersons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB

Under the Paperwork control number.

Substitute for form 1449B/PTO INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary) Sheet of

Complete if Known 09/972,030 **Application Number** October 5, 2001 Filing Date First Named Inventor HAHN 2819 **Group Art Unit Examiner Name** 739-X01-006 Attorney Docket Number

CA  K.H. Hoehne and W.A. Hanson, "Interactive 3D segmentation of MRI and CT volumorphological operations", J Computer Assisted Tomography 16(2): 185–294, 198  L. Lemieux, G. Hagemann, K. Krakow, and F.G. Woermann, "Fast, accurate, and automatic segmentation of the brain in T1-weighted volume MRI data", Magnetic F. Medicine 42(1): 127–35, Jul 1999.  A.M. Dale, B. Fischl, and M.I. Sereno, "Cortical surface-based analysis I: Segmen reconstruction", Neurolmage 9: 179–194, 1999.  R.J. van der Geest, V.G.M. Buller, E. Jansen, H.J. Lamb, L.H.B. Baur, E.E. van der Wall, A. d. Reiber, "Comparison between manual and semi-automated analysis of left ventricular volume short-axis MR images", J Computer Assisted Tomography 21(5): 756–765, 1997.  J. Sijbers, P. Scheunders, M. Verhoye, A. Van der Linden, D. Van Dyck, and E. Raman, "Wate segmentation of 3D MR data for volume quantization", Magnetic Resonance Imaging 15(4), 19 Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Inf (MICCAI 2000), Pittsburgh, Springer LNCS: 134–143, 2000.	2.
automatic segmentation of the brain in T1-weighted volume MRI data", Magnetic F Medicine 42(1): 127–35, Jul 1999.  A.M. Dale, B. Fischl, and M.I. Sereno, "Cortical surface-based analysis I: Segmen reconstruction", NeuroImage 9: 179–194, 1999.  R.J. van der Geest, V.G.M. Buller, E. Jansen, H.J. Lamb, L.H.B. Baur, E.E. van der Wall, A. d. Reiber, "Comparison between manual and semi-automated analysis of left ventricular volume short-axis MR images", J Computer Assisted Tomography 21(5): 756–765, 1997.  J. Sijbers, P. Scheunders, M. Verhoye, A. Van der Linden, D. Van Dyck, and E. Raman, "Wate segmentation of 3D MR data for volume quantization", Magnetic Resonance Imaging 15(4), 19  H.K. Hahn and HO. Peitgen, "The Skull Stripping Problem In MRI Solved By A Si Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Inc.	oproducible
CC reconstruction", NeuroImage 9: 179–194, 1999.  R.J. van der Geest, V.G.M. Buller, E. Jansen, H.J. Lamb, L.H.B. Baur, E.E. van der Wall, A. d. Reiber, "Comparison between manual and semi-automated analysis of left ventricular volume short-axis MR images", J Computer Assisted Tomography 21(5): 756–765, 1997.  J. Sijbers, P. Scheunders, M. Verhoye, A. Van der Linden, D. Van Dyck, and E. Raman, "Wate segmentation of 3D MR data for volume quantization", Magnetic Resonance Imaging 15(4), 19  H.K. Hahn and HO. Peitgen, "The Skull Stripping Problem In MRI Solved By A Si Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Internation (1998).	
Reiber, "Comparison between manual and semi-automated analysis of left ventricular volume short-axis MR images", J Computer Assisted Tomography 21(5): 756–765, 1997.  J. Sijbers, P. Scheunders, M. Verhoye, A. Van der Linden, D. Van Dyck, and E. Raman, "Wate segmentation of 3D MR data for volume quantization", Magnetic Resonance Imaging 15(4), 19  H.K. Hahn and HO. Peitgen, "The Skull Stripping Problem In MRI Solved By A Si Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Internation (1998).	ation and surface
CE segmentation of 3D MR data for volume quantization", Magnetic Resonance Imaging 15(4), 19  H.K. Hahn and HO. Peitgen, "The Skull Stripping Problem In MRI Solved By A Si Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Internation (CF)	
CF Watershed Transformation", Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted In	

Examiner		Date	
Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.